

ACEP 48

Title: Sepsis Management: Septic Shock: Lactate Level Measurement, Antibiotics Ordered, and Fluid Resuscitation

Description: Percentage of emergency department visits resulting in hospital admission or transfers for patients aged 18 years and older with septic shock who had an order for all the following during the emergency department visit: a serum lactate level, antibiotics, and >= 1 L of crystalloids.

Measurement Period: January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2024 Measure Steward: American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) Measure Developer: American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP)

Measure Scoring: Proportion Measure Type: Process

Initial Population	All emergency department visits resulting in hospital admission or
ilitiai ropulation	transfers for patients aged 18 years and older with septic shock
Denominator	All emergency department visits resulting in hospital admission or
	transfers for patients aged 18 years and older with septic shock
Denominator Exclusions	Patients with any of the following:
	- Transferred into the emergency department from another acute
	care facility or other in-patient hospital setting
	- Left before treatment was complete
	- Died during the emergency department visit
	- Cardiac arrest within the emergency department visit
	- Patient or surrogate decision maker declined care
	- Advanced care directives present in patient medical record for
	comfort care
	- Severe Heart Failure (LVEF < 20%)
	- Left Ventricular Assist Device (LVAD)
	- Acute Pulmonary Edema
	- Toxicological emergencies
	- Burn
	- Seizures
	- Anuria
	- End stage renal disease
	- Secondary diagnosis of:
	- Gastrointestinal bleeding
	- Stroke
	- Acute myocardial infarction
	- Acute trauma
	- COVID -19
Numerator	Emergency department visits for patients who had an order for all of
	the following during the emergency department visit:
	- Serum lactate level
	- Antibiotics
	->= 1 L of crystalloids
Numerator Exclusions	Patient is admitted within 1 hour of ED Arrival



Denominator Exceptions

Patient is admitted to the hospital within one hour of ED arrival

Stratification: None Risk Adjustment: None

Improvement Notation: Higher score indicates better quality

Rationale

LACTATE MEASUREMENT - As soon as patients presenting to the emergency department with sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion are identified, protocolized, quantitative resuscitation is recommended. Early resuscitation strategies, including evidence-based treatments to normalize elevated lactate, are associated with improved survival rates in emergency department patients. In order to achieve lactate normalization in patients with elevated levels, an initial lactate measurement must be obtained. An association between elevated lactate with morbidity and mortality in diverse populations of critically ill patients including patients with septic shock. Clinically, patients with sepsis experience elevated serum lactate due to impaired clearance or excessive production of lactate manifested by a dysfunction in the hepatic, renal, and other organ functions. For patients presenting to the emergency department with sepsis, a measurement of serum lactate is a suitable and timely strategy for confirming patients atrisk for poor outcomes and initiating treatment.

Obtaining a lactate level is associated with improved outcomes in patients with sepsis as it is critical to identifying tissue hypoperfusion in patients who are not yet hypotensive but who are at risk for severe sepsis or septic shock. In a large-scale, multicenter study of compliance with the Surviving Sepsis Campaign guidelines, only 61% of patients had an initial lactate value measured in the first quarter of the study. In the final quarter, only 78.7% of patients had an initial lactate measurement.

ANTIBIOTIC ORDER - The emergency physician should order antibiotics for patients with septic shock in order to ameliorate patient decline. Delay in delivery of antibiotics in the emergency department puts the patient at high-risk for adverse outcomes such as drug reactions, increase length of hospital stay, and mortality.

Multiple studies demonstrate reduced mortality and improved outcomes for septic shock patients receiving timely antibiotics in the emergency department. In addition, a delay in administration of antibiotics is associated with higher mortality, higher cost, and increased length of in-patient hospital stay. Kumar et al found a 7.6% increase in mortality for every hour hypotensive patients with septic shock experienced a delay of receiving antimicrobials in the Intensive Care Unit.

Clinically, an increase in the duration of hypotension and elevated lactate in the absence of antibiotics in septic shock patients with gram-positive and gram-negative bacteremia has a demonstrated association with poor outcomes and death). There is a mortality benefit with the delivery of anti-infective therapeutic drugs that combat activity against all likely pathogens and presumed sources of septic shock.

Results from a multicenter observational study including 15,022 patients from 165 hospitals demonstrated that patients with septic shock were given broad spectrum antibiotics 60.4% in the first quarter of the study. At the final quarter, the increase of compliance on providing antibiotics only increased 7.5% to 67.9%. Clearly, the opportunity to provide comprehensive and timely care to septic shock patients exists.

A multi-center randomized controlled trial of early sepsis resuscitation found mortality was significantly increased in patients who received initial antibiotics after septic shock recognition compared with



before septic shock recognition. Only 59% of patients received the initial dose of antibiotics after recognition of septic shock. This demonstrates that delay to antibiotics is harmful and persists

FLUID RESUSCITATION - In sepsis patients, failure to deliver intravenous fluids can lead to extended length of in-patient hospital stay, long-term health complications, and mortality.

Persistence of elevated lactate, even in the absence of hypotension, is associated with poor outcomes and requires intravenous fluids to improve circulation volume and restore perfusion levels throughout the body. Prompt fluid resuscitation to septic shock patients during the emergency department stay is associated with a stabilized condition and improved survival rate.

A prospective observational study on over one hundred consecutive adult patients with severe sepsis or septic shock found that only 84% of patients with documented hypotension received immediate fluid administration (0.5L). The amount considered adequate in this study is lower than the threshold outlined in this measure (greater than or equal to 1 liter of crystalloids), which may indicate critically ill patients with septic shock receive appropriate fluids at an even lower rate.

Clinical Recommendation Statement

The following evidence statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and other references:

- We recommend that hospitals and hospital systems have a performance improvement program for sepsis, including sepsis screening for acutely ill, high-risk patients [best practice statement (BPS)]. (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017)
- Measure Lactate Level. Obtaining a lactate level is essential to identifying tissue hypoperfusion in patients who are not yet hypotensive but who are at risk for septic shock. (Surviving Sepsis Campaign 3-Hour Bundle, 2015)
- We recommend that administration of IV antimicrobials be initiated as soon as possible after
 recognition and within one hour for both sepsis and septic shock (strong recommendation,
 moderate quality of evidence). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017) We recommend empiric
 broad-spectrum therapy with one or more antimicrobials for patients presenting with sepsis or
 septic shock to cover all likely pathogens (including bacterial and potentially fungal or viral
 coverage) (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign,
 2017)
- We recommend that antimicrobial therapy is narrowed once pathogen identification and sensitivities are established and/or adequate clinical improvement is noted (BPS). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017)
- We recommend that, in the resuscitation from sepsis-induced hypoperfusion, at least 30mL/kg of IV crystalloid fluid be given within the first 3 hours (strong recommendation, low quality of evidence). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017)
- We recommend that, following initial fluid resuscitation, additional fluids be guided by frequent reassessment of hemodynamic status (BPS). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017) We recommend crystalloids as the fluid of choice for initial resuscitation and subsequent intravascular volume replacement in patients with sepsis and septic shock (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence). (Surviving Sepsis Campaign, 2017)



Definition

For purposes of this measure, patients with septic shock will be identified with any of the following criteria:

- Diagnosis of septic shock
- Diagnosis of sepsis and hypotension
- Diagnosis of infection and hypotension

Guidance

The data elements ["Laboratory Test, Order": "Serum Lactate"], ["Medication, Order": "IV Antibiotics for Sepsis"], and ["Medication, Order": "Sepsis Crystalloid Fluids"] are intended to be limited to instances where they are ordered by an emergency care provider to satisfy the measure and specifications' intent. This level of attribution at the data element level to a provider's specialty is not able to be demonstrated in current eCQM standards and tools.

References

- 1. Rhodes A1, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. Crit Care Med. 2017 Mar;45(3):486-552. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000002255.
- 2. Surviving Sepsis Campaign. 3-Hour Bundle. Revised April 2015. Available: http://www.survivingsepsis.org/SiteCollectionDocuments/Bundle-Three-Hour-SSC.pdf
- 3. Trzeciak S, Dellinger RP, Abate NL, Cowan RM, et al. Translating research to clinical practice: a 1year experience with implementing early goal-directed therapy for septic shock in the emergency department. CHEST. 2006 Feb;129(2)225-32.
- 4. Levy MM, Dellinger RP, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign: results of an international guideline-based performance improvement program targeting severe sepsis. Crit Care Med. 2010 Feb;38(2):367-74.
- 5. Ferrer R, Martin-Loeches I, Phillips G, Osborn TM, Townsend S, Dellinger RP, Artigas A, Schorr C, Levy MM, Empiric antibiotic treatment reduces mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock from the first hour: results from a guideline-based performance improvement program. Crit Care Med. 2014 Aug;42(8):1749-55.
- 6. Gaieski DF, Mikkelsen ME, Band RA, et al. Impact time to antibiotics on survival in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock in whom early goal directed therapy was initiated in the emergency department. Crit Care Med. 2010;38:1045-53.
- 7. Ferrer R, Artigas A, et al. Improvement in process of care and outcome after a multicenter severe sepsis education program. JAMA. 2008;299(19):2294-2303.
- 8. Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S, Suppes R, Feinstein D, Zanotti S, Taiberg L, Gurka D, Kumar A, Cheang M. Duration of hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of survival in human septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2006. Jun;34(6): 1589-96.
- 9. Nguyen BH, Kuan WS, Batech M, Shikande P, Mahadevan M, Chih-Huang L, Ray S, Denge A. Outcome effectiveness of the severe sepsis resuscitation bundle with addition of lactate clearance as a bundle item: a multi-national evaluation. Crit Care Med. 2011; 15:R229.
- 10. Rochwerg B, Alhazzani W, Sindi A, Heels-Ansdell D, Thabane L, Fox-Robichaud A, Mbuagbaw L, Szczeklik W, Alshamsi F, Altayyar S, Ip WC, Li G, Wang M, Wludarczyk A, Zhou Q, Guyatt GH,



Cook DJ, Jaeschke R, Annane D. Fluid resuscitation in sepsis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2014. 161(5):347-355.

11. Gao F, Melody T, Daniels DF, Giles S, Fox S. The impact of compliance with 6-hour and 24-hour sepsis bundles on hospital mortality in patients with severe sepsis: a prospective observational study. Crit Care Med. 2005. 9:R767-R770.

Disclaimer

These performance measures are not clinical guidelines and do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications.

THE MEASURES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND. Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. ACEP and its members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT[R]) or other coding contained in the specifications. CPT(R) contained in the Measure specifications is copyright 2004-2023 American Medical Association. LOINC(R) copyright 2004-2023 Regenstrief Institute, Inc. This material contains SNOMED Clinical Terms(R) (SNOMED CT[R]) copyright 2004-2023 International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization. All Rights Reserved. Due to technical limitations, registered trademarks are indicated by (R) or [R].

